C D M I

CENTER FOR DISRUPTIVE MUSCULOSKELETAL INNOVATIONS

Development of Novel Impedance Sensor to Monitor Fracture Healing

Meir Marmor, MD; Safa Herfat; PhD, Chelsea Bahney, PhD; Monica Lin, BS

University of California – San Francisco, University of California – Berkeley

WWW.NSFCDMI.ORG

Clinical Need and Industrial Relevance

MUSCULOSKELETAL

15M fracture injuries/year in the U.S.¹

fractures result in delayed or non-union ²
 when in conjunction with vascular injury ³

Current Methods of Monitoring

- X-ray: cannot detect soft tissue
- CT scan: high radiation, expensive
- Observation: subjective

20%



[1] Schenker, 2014 [2] AAOS, 2008 [3] Einhorn, 1995

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION



Technique: Impedance Spectroscopy

- Impedance: $Z = R + jX = R + j\frac{-1}{\omega C}$
 - <u>Resistance</u>: intra and extracellular environment
 - <u>Capacitance</u>: cell membrane





USHULOSKELE

- Low frequencies: primarily reflect extracellular environment
- High frequencies: reflect both intra- and extracellular environment



Fracture Healing

MUSCULOSKELETAL

Endochondral ossification:



Non-union can occur

Mouse model







1 Quantitatively assess fracture healing in an in vivo murine model

1a. Develop sensors for *in vivo* stabilized fracture model
1b. Monitor healing: serial impedance measurements compared to radiographs & histology

2 Determine earliest time point of detecting fracture non-union

- 2a. Monitor healing in *in vivo* non-union fracture model
- **2b.** Compare normal and non-union measurements: determine when complications can be detected

Hypothesis:

Impedance can be used to track fracture healing and detect non-union early



Impedance Correlates to Cartilage and Bone Fractions in Fracture Calluses

MUSCULOSKELETAL



PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Clear difference in impedance for fractures initially 0.5 mm vs 2 mm in size

MUSCULOSKELETAL





Histology @ Day 14



0.5 mm defect

- CB Cortical Bone
- FC Fracture Callus

2 mm defect

- Cartilage
- New Bone
- Fibrous Tissue

A lot of variability between mice in stabilized model with 250 um sensor

MUSCULOSKELETAL

Day 14





- Sensor too large relative to fracture callus
- Motion of rigid sensor causes soft tissue damage



Move to <u>unstable model</u>: **larger callus** <u>New prototype</u> of sensor: **smaller**, **flexible**



50 um sensors break in unstable model

MUSCULOSKELETAL





Day 0



Day 14



CDMI

- 3 rounds of experiments
- N = 12

Sensor always broken or pulled out of leg by Day 14

Small 50 um sensors in stabilized external fixator model







Difference in impedance between fractures forming robust callus vs. fibrous tissue









Deliverables

MUSCULOSKELETAL

UCSF INSTITUTIONAL ANIMAL CARE AND USE COMMITTEE



AN143402-01





Receipt is acknowledged of this provisional patent application. It will not be examined for patentability and will become abandoned not later than twelve months after its filing date. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt please submit a written request for a Filing Receipt Correction. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections

Inventor(s)

Monica Lin, San Francisco, CA; Michel Maharbiz, El Cerrito, CA; Safa Hertat, San Francisco, CA; Chelsea Bahney, San Francisco, CA; Meir Marmor, Foster City, CA;

Applicant(s)

The Regents of the University of California, Oakland, CA;

Mouse model development

Prototype sensors

Provisional patent



Publications & Presentations



Conferences

- M.C. Lin, F. Yang, S.T. Herfat, C.S. Bahney, M.M. Maharbiz, M. Meir. *"Novel Impedance Spectroscopy Device Detects Fracture Progression in Mice"*. Orthopaedic Research Society (ORS) Annual Meeting: Orlando, FL (Mar. 2016). [podium talk]
- M.C. Lin, F. Yang, S.T. Herfat, C.S. Bahney, M.M. Maharbiz, M. Meir. *"Impedance Measurements Correlate to Callus Maturation of Mice Tibia Fractures"*. OTA Annual Meeting: National Harbor, MD (Oct. 2016). [podium talk]
- M.C. Lin, D. Hu, F. Yang, S.T. Herfat, C.S. Bahney, M.M. Maharbiz, M. Marmor. *"Using Impedance to Characterize Fracture States in Externally-Stabilized Mouse Tibia Fractures vs. Critical-Sized Defects."* ORS 2017 Annual Meeting. [abstract submitted Aug 29, 2016]

Manuscripts

• M.C. Lin, F. Yang, S.T. Herfat, C.S. Bahney, M. Meir, M.M. Maharbiz. *"Ex Vivo Evaluation of Impedance Spectroscopy to Distinguish Different Phases of Fracture Healing."* [article in preparation]

Intellectual Property

Monica Lin, et al. "*Quantitative tool using impedance spectroscopy to monitor fracture healing.*" PCT International Application. Filed Aug 11, 2016.



C D M I

CENTER FOR DISRUPTIVE MUSCULOSKELETAL INNOVATIONS

2016-2017 Project Proposal: Smart Implant to Detect Fracture Healing

Meir Marmor, MD; Safa Herfat; PhD, Chelsea Bahney, PhD; Michel Maharbiz, PhD; Monica Lin, BS

University of California – San Francisco, University of California – Berkeley

WWW.NSFCDMI.ORG



Can^{Sy}ou make this heal faster?

How fast will this heal?

Will this heal?

Tech View:L FEMUR LEF

MZK

CROSS TABLE

OR5



6 weeks post op



Can I start weight bearing?

Is there a blood test I can take?

Station:SWISSRAY_IPS SF General

Impedance Signatures Differ Between Tissue Types



Impedance Tracks with Healing Progression

MUSCULOSKELETAL



PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Difference in impedance between fractures forming robust callus vs. fibrous tissue







Clinical Need and Industrial Relevance

MUSCULOSKELETAL



0.05 mm sensors in stabilized fracture model cause prevailing fibrous tissue & delayed healing

- Due to excess motion of the sensor relative to bone ends
- Many challenges with small scale of mouse tibia

→ Scaling-up to a larger animal model will address these issues



MUSCULOSKELETAL

Clinical Need and Industrial Relevance



Changes in impedance are correlated with stages of fracture healing in cadaveric, *ex vivo*, and *in vivo* models



Scaling-up to a larger animal model will provide increased control of fracture stability and sensor movement & progress towards clinical use



2016-2017 Project Proposal



- 1 <u>Develop sensor electronics integrating impedance measurements</u> <u>& wireless capability</u>
- **1a.** Replace LCR meter with impedance measurement chip solution
- **1b.** Establish wireless communication, data transfer, and power transfer
- 2 Prototype sensor for a larger animal model
- 2a. Use cadaveric tibias to model size restrictions and determine necessary dimensions
- **2b**. Fully implant sensors in cadaveric rabbit hind limb to verify ability to collect data wirelessly







Milestones & Timeline

	Oct 2016	Nov 2016	Dec 2016	Jan 2017	Feb 2017	Mar 2017	Apr 2017	May 2017	Jun 2017	July 201	/ 7	Aug 2017	Sept 2017
<u>Aim 1</u> Sensor Electronics	Tune impedance chip for use in monitoring fractures (Aim 1a)						Build ra	l prototyp bbit moc	oe for lel				
			Desig	gn board incorporating wir capabilities (Aim 1b)			eless						
<u>Aim 2</u> Cadaveric					Initial hi	studies in nd limb to dimension	cadaveri determ s (Aim 2a	c rabbit ine a)					
Rabbit Model								Fully im cada	plant sei averic ral (Aim 2b)	nsors ii obit	n		
Writing				EMB Confere Submiss	C ence sion						V	Vrite jou manusc	ırnal ript



Deliverables

MUSCULOSKELETAL

• Prototype for larger animal model



- Journal article
- Application for full U.S. patent



Proposed Budget



Personnel	\$ 8,000	Monica Lin (PhD student)
Supplies	\$ 5,000	 Electronic components, precision sensor fabrication
Prototyping	\$ 10,000	Laser cutting, 3D printing, machining
Specimens/Implants	\$ 10,000	Rabbit specimens, implant hardware
Total Directs	\$ 33,000	
Indirects (10%)	\$ 3,300	
Total	\$ 36,300	